COMMUNITY PROJECT/REZONING

Ordinance Fact Sheet Case No. 8488-P-5

Brief Title

To approve an apartment building signage plan

Ordinance Number

Details

Location:

Generally located south of W 46th Street between Jefferson Street and Pennsylvania Avenue.

Reason for Legislation:

Signage Plan for an existing apartment complex on an approximately 1.73 acre

EXISTING CONDITIONS:

The site of the proposed signage is developed with three high-rise apartment buildings, currently known as the Dunlieth Towers, and a parking garage located on the east side of Jefferson Street, between W 46th Street to the north and W 47th Street to the south. Plans and construction of these buildings were approved in 1958. The three existing apartment buildings are identical – two of these buildings face Jefferson Street and one faces W 46th Street. Access to the parking garage is located on W 46th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue. All existing signage on the site will be replaced. There is no existing signage identifying the buildings.

REVISED PLANS:

The property owner is rebranding the buildings as "Icon on the Plaza" which replaces the current "Dunlieth Towers." The proposed signage plan is a part of the greater rebranding of the apartments and also aims to identify each apartment building. The new signage has a cohesive, comprehensive look that identifies the three buildings as a part of the Icon on the Plaza development and differentiates the three towers from each other. The proposed signage includes two monument signs and 7 wall signs.

The revised signage would be similar to the original in design. The panels would wrap around the corners of each building and would be constructed of aluminum. The revised proposed signs would be 13 feet 9 inches tall. No text would appear on the sign, rather the sign would feature the "Icon on the Plaza" logo which can be found on other signs throughout the development. The logo would be a different color on each building and would be backlit for visibility at night.

ORIGNAL REQUEST:

Tower signs would be located on the corners of each of the existing apartment towers to differentiate between the structures. Two signs are proposed for each building – one on opposite corners of each building. The signs would be constructed of aluminum with a pattern similar to that found on the monument sign perforated onto the surface. The signs would have two faces that meet at a right angle. The signs would read "Tower 1," "Tower 2," and "Tower 3" in cut out letters and the sign would be back lit with color lights. The

Positions/Recommendations

r ositions, neconn		
	Robert Langenkamp, AICP, Director	
Sponsors	Department of City Planning & Development	
Programs,	4 th District (Marcason, Glover)	
Departments or	,	
Groups Affected		
	Applicant Vanessa Harbrucker	
	Acme Sign Inc.	
	1313 Vernon Street	
Applicants /	North Kansas City, MO 64116	
Proponents	City Department	
-	City Planning & Development	
	Other	
Opponents	Groups or Individuals	
	Plaza Westport Neighborhood Association	
	Basis of Opposition	
	Feels signs too large/out of character	
Staff	X For	
Recommendation	Against	
Recommendation		
	Reason Against	
	City Plan Commission (6-0) 05-20-2014	
	By Baker-Hughes, Gutierrez, Martin, May,	
Board or	Van Zandt and Macy.	
Commission Recommendation	For Against No Action Taken	
	X For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions)	
	(See details column for conditions)	
Council Committee Actions	Do Pass	
	Dorass	
	Do Pass (as amended)	
	20 : 400 (40 44	
	Committee Sub.	
	Without Recommendation	
	Hold	
	Do not pass	

continued from Page 1 signs would be 15 feet tall and 3 feet 6 inches wide. The Zoning and Development Code allows for one wall sign per multifamily residential building. The signs are one piece but are seen on two facades – the facades with main entrances would have two visible signs. Approving the sign package	Policy or Program Yes No
would allow the applicant to deviate from the Zoning and Development Code. RECOMMENDATION: At its July 15, 2014 meeting, the City Plan Commission recommended approval of Case No. 8488-P-5, subject to the	Operational Impact Assessment
following condition: 1. That no sign encroaches into any existing utility easement.	Finances
	Cost & Revenue Projections – Including Indirect Costs
	Financial Impact
	Funding Source(s) and Appropriation Account Codes
Fact Sheet Prepared By: Date Prepared: November 4, Ashley Winchell Staff Planner	2014
Reviewed By: Date Reviewed: Diane Binckley, AICP Division Manager Development Management Reference Numbers:	Initial Application Filed: May 30, 2014 City Plan Commission: July 15, 2014 Revised Plans Filed: June 22, 2014

Case No. 8488-P-5