COMMUNITY PROJECT/REZONING

Ordinance Fact Sheet

Case No. 6517-P-33

Brief Title

to consider approval of a Council Approved Signage Plan for a medical office park

Details

Location: generally located at the northeast corner of NW 110th Terrace and N Ambassador Drive

Reason for Legislation: to consider approval of a Council Approved Signage Plan for a medical office park

EXISTING CONDITIONS:

The subject site is undeveloped and zoned B3-3. The site is located west of N Congress Avenue and east of N Ambassador Drive. Property to the north, east and south of the site is developed with low- to medium-rise commercial and office buildings with accessory surface parking. Both the sites located to the north and south of the subject site are developed with single story office buildings and accessory surface parking. The site is divided into two parcels.

At the time of application, N Ambassador Drive is a divided roadway with two northbound and two southbound lanes. Sidewalks are present on developed lots – no sidewalks are constructed on the subject site. N Congress Avenue is a two-lane roadway without curb, gutter and sidewalks on either side.

A development plan was recently approved allowing for a five building medical office park.

PLAN REVIEW:

The applicant submitted a signage plan to serve the approved medical office park. The plan includes four sign types as follows:

Building Monument Sign: The plan shows a total of four signs. The signs are located internally to the site and are located outside of the parking lots. The signs are on a 30" tall stone base and measure 36" tall and 120" wide with a total sign area of 30 square feet.

Campus Monument Sign: The plan shows a total of four signs, one at each curb cut. Two signs are shown on Ambassador and two on Congress. The signs are 96" tall and 100" wide with a total sign area of 66.66 square feet. The signs will include an area for further building identification at the bottom.

Building Information Panel Sign: The plan shows four of these signs throughout the parking lot. This sign includes a listing of tenants in the building. Staff recommends one per building to direct patients to the correct building. The sign is shown to be 74" tall and 42" wide with a total sign area of 21.56 square feet.

160517

Ordinance Number

Positions/Recommendations

	1 CC 14 (11)			
	Jeffrey Williams, AICP, Director			
Sponsors	Department of City Planning & Development			
Programs,	2 nd District (Fowler, Loar)			
Departments or				
Groups Affected				
	Applicant Matthew Murphy			
	Treanor Architects, PA			
	1040 Vermont Street			
	Lawrence, KS 66044			
Applicants /	City Demonstrates			
Proponents	City Department			
	City Planning & Development			
	Other			
	Groups or Individuals			
Opponents	B : (0 :::			
	Basis of Opposition			
	χ For			
Staff	Against			
Recommendation				
	Barray Arabas			
	Reason Against			
	City Plan Commission (8-0) 06-21-2016			
	By (Archie, Baker-Hughes, Burnette, Crowl,			
Board or	Gutierrez, Macy, Martin and May)			
Commission	For Against No Action Taken			
Recommendation				
	x For, with revisions or conditions			
	(see details column for conditions)			
	Do Pass			
	Do Pass			
	<u> </u>			
Council	Do Pass (as amended)			
	Committee Sub.			
Committee	Without Recommendation			
Actions				
	Hold			
	····			
	Po not note			
	Do not pass			
	1			

Site Directional Sign: The plan shows eight of these signs throughout the parking lot. These signs include addresses with arrows to provide direction. The sign is shown to be 48" tall and 40" wide with a total sign area of 13.33 square feet.

No wall signage is shown. The applicant plans to meet the requirements of 88-445 for wall signs in non-residential districts for this signage. If the tenant wishes to provide more wall signage than allowed they will be required to amend this signage plan.

88-445-11-E. STANDARDS

A Council Approved Signage Plan shall comply with the following standards:

- 1. The plan shall comply with the purpose of this chapter and the overall intent of this section.
 - In general the proposed signs comply with the overall intent of 88-445.
- The signs shall enhance the overall development, be in harmony with, and relate visually to other signs included in the Council Approved Signage Plan, to the structures and/or developments they identify, and to surrounding development and neighborhoods.

The proposed signs are similar in design and materials to the surrounding development.

- 3. The signs will not create a safety or traffic hazard.

 The signs are properly setback to prevent safety or traffic hazards.
- 4. The plan shall accommodate future revisions that may be required because of changes in use or tenants.

The proposed signs provide opportunity for new tenants without changing this signage plan.

5. The plan shall comply with the standards of this chapter. Except for a Council Approved Signage Plan for any property zoned R, flexibility is allowed with regard to sign area, number, location, duration, type, and/or height to the extent that the Council Approved Signage Plan will enhance the overall development and will more fully accomplish the purposes of this chapter.

The plan proposes more signs than allowed by code but will help patients more easily find the correct building.

- 6. Banner signs shall only be allowed if attached to light poles with frames on the top and bottom of the banners. *No banners are proposed.*
- 7. Neither the proposed message to be displayed nor the character of the use on the property may be a factor in the decision to approve the signage plan.

Content and use are not being used to influence staff recommendation.

Policy or Program Change	Yes	No No
Operational Impact Assessment		
Finances		
Cost & Revenue Projections – Including Indirect Costs		
Financial Impact		
Funding Source(s) and Appropriation Account Codes		

C	Continued from page 2

Fact Sheet Prepared By: **Date:** 07-05-2016

Ashley Winchell Staff Planner

Reviewed By: Date: 07-05-2016

Diane Binckley, AICP Division Manager

Development Management

Reference Numbers: Case No. 6517-P-33

Initial Application Filed: 05-06-2016 **City Plan Commission:** 06-21-2016

Revised Plans Filed: 06-21-2016