GENERAL Ordinance Fact Sheet

CONTINUED BELOW

Brief Title:

1 (60	93	8	
•		-	_	

Ordinance Number

Reason:

Amendment to Chapter 76, Article II.	Please se	e below.	
Details	Positions / Recommen	dations	
Reason for Legislation	Sponsor(s) None. Programs, Departments, or Groups Affected None.		
To revise ordinances that regulate taxicab vehicles, livery vehicles and transportation network company vehicles.			
	Applicants/Proponents	Applicant Jim Ready, Regulated Industries Division Manager City Department Neighborhoods & Housing Services Other	
Discussion (including relationship to other Council actions) Expands the definition to allow the use of GPS based electronic devices to be used as meters. These are being used in such cities as San Francisco, Los Angeles, Seattle, St. Louis and New York	Opponents	Groups or Individuals Basis of Opposition	
Only requires taxicab vehicles to be formally inspected once a year. Also specifies that any taxicab vehicle that is not in good repair may be ordered out of service until it meets ordinance requirements. This ordinance changes makes it easier on the taxicab industry by not requiring and additional six week inspection period while it also promotes inspections of taxicabs in the field by Inspectors.	Staff Recommendation	☑ For □ Against Reasons Against:	
Removed the requirement that "no person may directly or indirectly control more than 60 percent of the total number of taxicab vehicle permits". The reason for this is because the number of permitted taxicab vehicles is starting to decline due to the emergence of the TNC companies and vehicles and I do not think it is the intent of the ordinance to force any permit holder to surrender permits due to this requirement.	Board or Commission Recommendation	☐ For ☐ Against☐ No Action Taken☐ For, with revisions or conditions	
Changed the annual expiration date to October 31, and, specified language to make it clear that all vehicles for which new or renewed vehicle permits are sought must be inspected and found to be in compliance with all ordinance requirements before the vehicle permits are renewed.	Council Committee Action	□ Do Pass □ Do Pass (as amended) □ Committee Substitute □ No Recommendation □ Hold □ Do Not Pass	

Approval Deadline:

Details	Policy / Program Impact			
Increase in annual vehicle permit fees - Sliding scale that decreases if more companies participate Section 76-102 lists all application requirements under this	Policy or P Change	Program	☑ No ☐ Yes	
section and makes it clear that nobody will receive their driver's certificate until these requirements have been met	Operationa Assessme		None	
Physical evaluation has been eliminated				
Display of driver's certificate – No longer requires drivers to wear drivers certificates but still requires all drivers to carry				
them at all times when driving and present them upon request	Finances	Finances		
Insurance language updated to include language recently added in Missouri State Statutes – Assures that a transportation network company provides full disclosure to an applicant who wishes to be a transportation network company vehicle driver with regard to personal insurance policies and vehicles that have a lien against them Allows taxicab companies to charge an alternative fare below the maximum rate which allows taxicab companies to be more competitive with TNC companies Allows for the use of a taximeter or GPS based electronic devices to be used as taximeters. These are being used in such cities as San Francisco, Los Angeles, Seattle, St. Louis and New York and other cities such as Little Rock,	Cost and F	S	Cost of Legislation \$0 Increase/Decrease in Revenue Expected Annually \$50,000 increase in revenues which recuperates the fees lost in 2015 which were made from the previous ordinance fee changes.	
Arkansas, St Louis and San Francisco have made ordinance changes to allow for this new technology to be used	Fund Sour	ces		

Applicable Dates:

Fact Sheet Prepared By:
Jim Ready, Manager, Regulated Industries Division

Reviewed By:

Reference Numbers