# Amended and Restated Chapter 100 Industrial Development Plan Cerner Properties, Inc Data Center Project

This amended and restated Chapter 100 Industrial Development Plan has been prepared to amend the capital investment, jobs and PILOT projections provided in the original Chapter 100 Industrial Development Plan approved by Ordinance 061372. Cerner's data center project has been constructed and \$78,424,169.03 of bonds were issued under the 2007A Indenture for the associated construction costs of the data center building and the initial investment in machinery/equipment/furniture/fixtures at the site. Cerner anticipates redeeming a portion (currently projected to be approximately \$6,100,000.00) of those bonds prior to December 31, 2008. Future bond issuances totaling the sum of such redeemed bonds and an additional \$421,575,830.97 are projected through 2017 to cover additional investments in personal property, i.e., machinery/equipment/furniture/fixtures at the data center.

#### A. Plan requirements per Missouri State Statute 100.050

#### 1) Project Description

Founded in 1979, Cerner Corporation has grown into the leading supplier worldwide of healthcare information technology. The company employs 7,300 associates in 13 countries with 4,400 associates in the Kansas City metropolitan area. Cerner is headquartered in North Kansas City, with a Kansas City office on North Oak. The company expects to exceed \$2 billion in cumulative investment in research and development by 2010.

Cerner's data center consists of approximately 105,000 square feet, together with approximately 15,000 square feet of office space located on the west side of Chouteau Trafficway, north of MO Highway 210 adjacent to its headquarters. Total capital investment is estimated at \$501.6 million. Up to 115 new jobs, with an average salary of \$50,000, will be created by the project.

Cerner has requested up to \$500 million from multiple bond issuances over a ten year term under Chapter 100 to fund the acquisition and installation of real and personal property for the data center facility. The building and equipment purchased with the bond proceeds will be owned by the City and leased back to Cerner under a lease agreement. The bonds will be repaid solely by Cerner under the terms of the lease agreement.

Cerner is seeking ad valorem tax abatement on the real and personal property associated with the project (up to ten-year abatement period in connection with each bond issuance). Staff estimates total real and personal property tax abatement to be \$16.5 million during the aggregate 20 year period (10 year rolling period of tax abatement that began in 2007). The City's portion of this tax abatement is approximately \$2.8 million. Pursuant to the City's Chapter 100 policy, Cerner will make annual payments in lieu of taxes (PILOTS) equal to 50% of the real and personal property taxes to affected taxing jurisdictions that would have been payable had the real and

personal property been fully taxed. The City will cooperate with Cerner to cause all purchases of building materials, systems, components and personal property associated with the operation and occupancy of the property to be exempt from all state and local sales taxes. Total sales tax abatement for the project is estimated at \$23.0 million. The City's portion of the total sales tax abatement is approximately \$2.2 million.

#### 2) Cost Estimate

COST CATEGORIES	TOTAL	COSTS FINANCED WITH
	<b>AMOUNT</b>	BOND PROCEEDS
Construction	\$60,091,913	\$60,000,000
Renovation	\$0	\$0
Machinery/Equipment	\$427,189,272	\$427,189,272
Furniture/Fixtures	\$12,810,728	\$12,810,728
Capitalized Interest	\$1,470,671	\$0
Cost of Issuance	\$0	\$0
Contingency	\$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	\$501,562,584	\$500,000,000

See Attachment 1 for the annualized cost breakdown.

#### 3) Sources of Funds

SOURCES	AMOUNT
Bond Proceeds	\$500,000,000
Other Financing	\$0
Applicant's Funds	\$1,562,584
TOTAL	\$501,562,584

#### 4) Lease/Disposal Terms

Cerner, as the City's contractor, will purchase and install real and personal property on the project site in accordance with the lease agreement. The City shall be the sole owner of the real and personal property during the lease term. While the real and personal property is owned by the City and is subject to the lease, Cerner's leasehold interest will be exempt from all ad valorem property taxes. Cerner will make PILOT payments as described in Section 1. During the lease term, Cerner has agreed that the earnings tax collected on earnings of employees of Cerner and its affiliates at the data center property shall not be less than \$245,700 during the period beginning on December 1, 2007 and ending on December 31, 2017. If the earnings tax amount is less during this period, Cerner will pay the difference to the City. Cerner has also agreed to a minimum investment of \$100,000,000. If this threshold is not met over ten years a portion of the real property tax abatement will be returned to the taxing jurisdictions. Each item

of real and personal property shall be conveyed by the City to Cerner on the 10<sup>th</sup> anniversary of Cerner's conveyance to the City (or sooner at Cerner's option).

#### 5) Affected Taxing Jurisdictions

The following taxing jurisdictions will be affected by this project: North Kansas City School District, Clay County, Mid-Continent Public Library District and the Metropolitan Junior College District.

**6)** 

# a) Equalized Assessed Valuation of Real Property/Personal Property in Project – Before Development

Real Property: \$243,650 Personal Property: \$63,972

# b) Equalized Assessed Valuation of Real Property/Personal Property in Project – After Development

	<b>Personal Pro</b>	perty	F	<b>Real Property</b>	
		Equalized			Equalized
Year	Appraised	Assessed	Year	Appraised	Assessed
	Value	Value		Value	Value
		33.33%			32.00%
1	11,203,736	3,734,205		20,764,600	6,644,672
2	24,815,192	8,270,904		20,764,600	6,644,672
3	44,672,382	14,889,305		21,179,892	6,777,565
4	47,965,252	15,986,818		21,179,892	6,777,565
5	50,045,232	16,680,076		21,603,490	6,913,117
6	74,927,291	24,973,266		21,603,490	6,913,117
7	65,452,218	21,815,224		22,035,560	7,051,379
8	64,991,218	21,661,573		22,035,560	7,051,379
9	70,769,418	23,587,447		22,476,271	7,192,407
10	85,443,968	28,478,475		22,476,271	7,192,407
11	40,462,593	13,486,182		22,925,796	7,336,255
12	16,501,343	5,499,898		22,925,796	7,336,255
13	8,222,468	2,740,549		23,384,312	7,482,980
14	4,343,218	1,447,595		23,384,312	7,482,980
15	3,763,218	1,254,281		23,851,998	7,632,639
16	3,183,218	1,060,967		23,851,998	7,632,639
17	3,183,218	1,060,967		24,329,038	7,785,292
18	3,183,218	1,060,967		24,329,038	7,785,292
19	3,183,218	1,060,967		24,815,619	7,940,998
20	3,183,218	1,060,967		24,815,619	7,940,998

#### 7) Cost/Benefit Analysis

a) North Kansas City School District: \$10,906,533

b) Metropolitan Junior College District: \$415,109

c) Clay County: \$2,831,639

**d)** City: \$10,151,405

See Attachment 2 for the cost/benefit analysis.

#### 8) Anticipated PILOTs and Disposition of Payments

See Attachment 3.

#### B. Community Impact Statement Requirements (Resolution No. 041033)

#### 1) Project Integration with FOCUS

The site under consideration is located within the Northland Urbanized FOCUS Development Priority Zone.

#### 2) Cost/Benefit of the Project

a) City: \$10,151,405

b) North Kansas City School District: \$10,906,533

See Attachment 2 for the cost/benefit analysis.

#### 3) Project Compliance with City Affirmative Action Policies

Cerner will comply, and will cause its contractors to comply, with the nondiscrimination and equal employment requirements of the City of Kansas City, Missouri, and with affirmative action in employment requirements applicable to the Project.

#### 4) Other Evaluation Criteria

#### a) Number of New Jobs/Retained Jobs

The proposed project will result in the generation of up to 115 new jobs in the first ten years.

#### b) Total Amount of Projected Investment

Total capital investment is approximately \$501.6 million in real and personal property.

#### c) Average Wage

The average annual salary for the new employees will be \$50,000.

#### d) Capability to Attract sales from Outside KCMO

As 98% of Cerner Corporation's sales are to customers outside of the City, the project will result in increased sales to customers outside of KCMO.

#### e) Financial Strength of the Business

Cerner Corporation was founded in 1979 and employs 7,300 associates worldwide, with approximately 4,400 employees in the Kansas City metropolitan area. In 2006, the company's annual revenues exceeded \$1.3 billion, growing at compound annual rates of 20% or more over the past 5- and 10- year time horizons.

#### f) Additional Residents to the Area

Over the term of the Chapter 100, up to 115 new jobs will be created, which could result in new Kansas City residents.

#### g) Potential for Future Expansion

Cerner has indicated that there is potential for future expansion to areas adjacent to its South Campus location.

#### h) Existing versus New Business to the City

Cerner's world headquarters are located in North Kansas City. In 2005, Cerner renovated an existing office building at 3301 North Oak Trafficway, the former headquarters of Farmland Industries. This expansion created 450 new jobs. The data center project is also an expansion project, creating new jobs and additional investment in Kansas City.

#### i) General Environmental Impact on the Area

Cerner is not aware of any detrimental environmental impact that will result from the proposed project.

#### j) Use of Federal and State Incentives for the Project

N/A.

#### k) Evidence of the Need for Chapter 100 Bonds (Recruitment Projects Only)

N/A – not a recruitment project

#### 1) Evidence of Support by Affected Taxing Jurisdictions

All taxing jurisdictions will be notified in accordance with Missouri State Statute 100.059.

#### m) Estimated Impact to Proximate Businesses/Competition in KCMO

N/A

#### **ATTACHMENT 1**

#### Cerner Properties, Inc. Chapter 100 Bonds Application

Item II (F) - Project Costs											Total
	Initial Cost - 2007	2008	2009	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	Project Costs
Construction Costs:											
Architectural and Engineering	3,500,000										3,500,000
_2. Site Preparation	6,000,000										6,000,000
3. Materials											0
4. Labor											0
5. Construction Contracts	36,060,156										36,060,156
Utilities Connection	9,000,000										9,000,000
7. Paving and Landscaping	5,531,757										5,531,757
Renovation Cost											0
Machinery and Equipment	, ,	24,997,354	41,000,000	35,000,000	37,800,000	65,824,000	40,000,000	48,200,000	55,000,000	65,985,000	427,189,272
Furniture and Fixtures	1,310,728		2,200,000			3,500,000				5,800,000	12,810,728
Capitalized Interest (From 2006 to 6/07)	1,470,671										1,470,671
Cost of Issuance (i.e. accounting, legal, etc)											0
Contingency	70.050.000	04.007.054	40 000 000	05 000 000	07 000 000	00 004 000	40.000.000	40 000 000	FF 000 000	74 705 000	0
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	76,256,230	24,997,354	43,200,000	35,000,000	37,800,000	69,324,000	40,000,000	48,200,000	55,000,000	71,785,000	501,562,584
Item II (G) - Source of Funds											
Bond Proceeds	74,693,646	24,997,354	43,200,000	35,000,000	37,800,000	69,324,000	40,000,000	48,200,000	55,000,000	71,785,000	500,000,000
Other Financing	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,		, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,
Applicants Funds	1,562,584										1,562,584
• •										•	
Total Source of Funds											501,562,584

## ATTACHMENT 2

Summary of Costs and Benefits Analysis 10/16/08

10/10/08	Construct	tion Period	-									Operatin
	Single	Additional	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
	Year	Years	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10
I. Kansas City Impacts												
Total Economic Benefits												
Construction	\$30,915,139	\$0										
Operations			\$10,176,596	\$12,846,396	\$17,762,432	\$15,892,988	\$16,756,538	\$24,508,312	\$17,883,284	\$19,868,205	\$21,604,536	\$26,703,021
Offsite Employee Effects			\$393,367	\$447,628	\$483,914	\$498,960	\$513,826	\$529,135	\$544,902	\$561,139	\$577,862	\$595,083
Secondary Effects			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Visitor Impacts			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Total Economic Benefits</b>	\$30,915,139	\$0	\$10,569,963	\$13,294,024	\$18,246,346	\$16,391,948	\$17,270,364	\$25,037,447	\$18,428,186	\$20,429,344	\$22,182,397	\$27,298,104
Total Public Revenues												
Construction	\$580,904	\$0										
Operations			\$528,480	\$587,113	\$768,042	\$831,542	\$875,434	\$1,073,124	\$1,056,463	\$1,065,319	\$1,112,260	\$1,271,009
Offsite Employee Effects			\$18,261	\$19,500	\$21,432	\$22,603	\$23,178	\$23,768	\$24,374	\$24,996	\$25,633	\$26,288
Secondary Effects												
Visitor Impacts			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Public Revenues	\$580,904	\$0	\$546,741	\$606,613	\$789,473	\$854,146	\$898,612	\$1,096,892	\$1,080,837	\$1,090,315	\$1,137,893	\$1,297,297
<b>Total Public Costs</b>		_										
Construction	\$529,015	\$0										
Operations			\$181,431	\$228,962	\$364,882	\$366,901	\$391,066	\$570,611	\$477,223	\$496,682	\$531,585	\$660,125
Offsite Employee Effects			\$30,657	\$34,327	\$36,377	\$36,758	\$37,142	\$37,530	\$37,922	\$38,319	\$38,719	\$39,124
Secondary Effects			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Visitor Impacts			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Total Public Costs</b>	\$529,015	\$0	\$212,088	\$263,289	\$401,260	\$403,659	\$428,207	\$608,141	\$515,145	\$535,001	\$570,304	\$699,249
NET ECONOMIC BENEFIT	ΓS											
vs. PUBLIC COSTS	\$30,386,124	\$0	\$10,357,874	\$13,030,735	\$17,845,087	\$15,988,290	\$16,842,157	\$24,429,307	\$17,913,041	\$19,894,343	\$21,612,093	\$26,598,855
NET PUBLIC REVENUES												
vs. PUBLIC COSTS	\$51,889	\$0	\$334,652	\$343,324	\$388,214	\$450,487	\$470,405	\$488,752	\$565,692	\$555,314	\$567,589	\$598,048

### Summary of Costs and Benef

Analysis 10/16/08

	g Period										
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	
	Year 11	Year 12	Year 13	Year 14	Year 15	Year 16	Year 17	Year 18	Year 19	Year 20	Total
I. Kansas City Impacts											
<b>Total Economic Benefits</b>											
Construction											\$30,915,139
Operations	\$10,464,440	\$10,523,462	\$10,700,103	\$10,973,185	\$11,277,150	\$11,611,764	\$11,953,805	\$12,312,148	\$12,678,493	\$13,058,573	\$299,555,431
Offsite Employee Effects	\$612,819	\$631,084	\$649,895	\$669,268	\$689,219	\$709,766	\$730,927	\$752,719	\$775,163	\$798,277	\$12,164,953
Secondary Effects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Visitor Impacts	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Total Economic Benefits</b>	\$11,077,259	\$11,154,546	\$11,349,998	\$11,642,453	\$11,966,369	\$12,321,529	\$12,684,732	\$13,064,868	\$13,453,656	\$13,856,850	\$342,635,523
<b>Total Public Revenues</b>											
Construction											\$580,904
Operations	\$988,083	\$762,814	\$646,635	\$618,113	\$611,426	\$626,068	\$638,539	\$657,424	\$674,127	\$694,076	\$16,086,089
Offsite Employee Effects	\$26,960	\$27,650	\$28,357	\$29,084	\$29,830	\$30,595	\$31,381	\$32,187	\$33,015	\$33,864	\$532,956
Secondary Effects											\$0
Visitor Impacts	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Public Revenues	\$1,015,043	\$790,463	\$674,992	\$647,197	\$641,256	\$656,663	\$669,919	\$689,611	\$707,142	\$727,940	\$17,199,949
Total Public Costs											<b>*==</b> 0.04=
Construction											\$529,015
Operations	\$323,348	\$204,932	\$140,881	\$120,473	\$110,791	\$111,576	\$111,072	\$113,566	\$114,753	\$117,340	\$5,738,201
Offsite Employee Effects	\$39,533	\$39,946	\$40,364	\$40,786	\$41,212	\$41,643	\$42,078	\$42,518	\$42,962	\$43,411	\$781,328
Secondary Effects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Visitor Impacts	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Public Costs	\$362,881	\$244,878	\$181,245	\$161,259	\$152,003	\$153,219	\$153,150	\$156,084	\$157,715	\$160,752	\$7,048,544
NET ECONOLUC DENEEL											
NET ECONOMIC BENEFI	1	#10 000 CC0	¢11 160 553	¢11 401 104	¢11 01 4 0 C C	#10.1C0.011	ф4.0 E04 E02	¢12 000 <b>5</b> 04	#12 20E 044	#12 COC 000	#22E E06 000
vs. PUBLIC COSTS	\$10,714,378	\$10,909,668	\$11,168,752	\$11,481,194	\$11,814,366	\$12,168,311	\$12,531,582	\$12,908,784	\$13,295,941	\$13,696,099	\$335,586,980
NET PUBLIC REVENUES											
vs. PUBLIC COSTS	\$652,162	\$545,585	\$493,747	\$485,938	\$489,253	\$503,444	\$516,769	\$533,527	\$549,426	\$567,188	\$10,151,405
vs. 1 UBLIC COS15	\$032,102	\$343,3 <b>6</b> 5	<b>7473,/4/</b>	<b>⊅</b> 403,738	<b>⊅407,453</b>	<b></b> рэиэ, <del>444</del>	\$310,7 <b>09</b>	фэээ,э <b>2</b> /	<b>ФЭ47,420</b>	Φ307,188	\$10,131,403

Smill Sans ST

#### **Summary of Costs and Benefits**

Analysis 10/16/08

10/10/00	Construct	ion Period										Operatin
	Single	Additional	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
	Year	Years	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10
III. Class Coursely Improved												
III. Clay County Impacts Total Economic Benefits												
Construction	\$18,016,714	\$0										
Operations			\$7,745,013	\$9,611,869	\$12,964,232	\$11,734,370	\$12,332,326	\$17,452,443	\$13,150,553	\$14,466,358	\$15,625,537	\$19,156,684
Offsite Employee Effects			\$887,545	\$1,010,484	\$1,750,422	\$1,803,450	\$1,857,458	\$1,913,085	\$1,970,379	\$2,029,389	\$2,090,168	\$2,152,768
Secondary Effects												
Visitor Impacts			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Economic Benefits	\$18,016,714	\$0	\$8,632,558	\$10,622,353	\$14,714,654	\$13,537,820	\$14,189,785	\$19,365,529	\$15,120,932	\$16,495,747	\$17,715,706	\$21,309,452
Total Public Revenues												
Construction	\$59,749	\$0										
Operations	ψ37,747	φ0 	\$133,336	\$144,815	\$214,076	\$267,662	\$284,317	\$337,806	\$382,654	\$366,221	\$376,395	\$429,486
Offsite Employee Effects			\$16,195	\$17,261	\$24,847	\$26,107	\$26,796	\$27,503	\$28,229	\$28,975	\$29,741	\$30,528
Secondary Effects												
Visitor Impacts			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Total Public Revenues</b>	\$59,749	\$0	\$149,531	\$162,077	\$238,922	\$293,770	\$311,113	\$365,309	\$410,883	\$395,196	\$406,136	\$460,014
Total Public Costs	044.744	ΦO										
Construction	\$44,744	\$0	\$78,320	¢00.140	\$137,587	\$157,762	\$167,596	\$215,437	\$217,740	e014 010	 ¢222 149	#262.60E
Operations Offsite Employee Effects			\$78,320 \$4,569	\$90,149 \$5,160	\$137,387 \$5,489	\$157,762 \$5,546	\$167,596 \$5,604	\$215,437 \$5,663	\$217,740	\$214,212 \$5,781	\$223,148 \$5,842	\$263,695 \$5,903
Secondary Effects			φ <del>4</del> ,309	φ5,160	φυ,409	φυ,υ40	ф <b>Э,004</b> 	φ5,005	ф3,722 	Ф5,761	φ3,642	φυ,900
Visitor Impacts												
Total Public Costs	\$44,744	\$0	\$82,889	\$95,309	\$143,075	\$163,308	\$173,200	\$221,100	\$223,462	\$219,993	\$228,990	\$269,598
NET ECONOMIC BENEFIT	·											
vs. PUBLIC COSTS	\$17,971,970	\$0	\$8,549,669	\$10,527,044	\$14,571,578	\$13,374,513	\$14,016,585	\$19,144,429	\$14,897,471	\$16,275,754	\$17,486,715	\$21,039,854
NET PUBLIC REVENUES												
vs. PUBLIC COSTS	\$15,005	\$0	\$66,642	\$66,768	\$95,847	\$130,462	\$137,913	\$144,209	\$187,422	\$175,203	\$177,146	\$190,416
VS. I ODLIC COSTS	ΨΙΟ,	ΨΟ	ψυυ,υ42	ψου, 100	Ψουιστί	Ψ100,402	Ψ101,710	ψ <b>133,4</b> 03	Ψ107,122	Ψ110,200	Ψ1//,140	ΨΙΖΟζΊΙΟ
		l l										

ARTHER AND HAS IN THE ADDRESS OF THE

## Summary of Costs and Benef

Analysis 10/16/08

	g Period										
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	
	Year 11	Year 12	Year 13	Year 14	Year 15	Year 16	Year 17	Year 18	Year 19	Year 20	Total
III. Clay County Impacts											
<b>Total Economic Benefits</b>											
Construction											\$18,016,714
Operations	\$8,655,675	\$8,754,022	\$8,928,636	\$9,166,168	\$9,425,185	\$9,705,599	\$9,992,772	\$10,292,384	\$10,599,245	\$10,917,048	\$265,411,022
Offsite Employee Effects	\$2,217,244	\$2,283,651	\$2,352,049	\$2,422,496	\$2,495,054	\$2,569,786	\$2,646,758	\$2,726,037	\$2,807,691	\$2,891,792	\$52,083,272
Secondary Effects											
Visitor Impacts	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Economic Benefits	\$10,872,919	\$11,037,673	\$11,280,684	\$11,588,664	\$11,920,239	\$12,275,385	\$12,639,531	\$13,018,421	\$13,406,935	\$13,808,840	\$335,511,007
Total Public Revenues											
Construction											\$59,749
Operations	\$390,052	\$240,430	\$159,636	\$134,098	\$122,154	\$123,476	\$123,186	\$126,710	\$128,600	\$132,284	\$5,017,631
Offsite Employee Effects	\$31,337	\$32,167	\$33,020	\$33,896	\$34,796	\$35,721	\$36,671	\$37,647	\$38,649	\$39,679	\$735,270
Secondary Effects											
Visitor Impacts	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Total Public Revenues</b>	\$421,389	\$272,597	\$192,656	\$167,994	\$156,950	\$159,197	\$159,857	\$164,357	\$167,250	\$171,964	\$5,812,651
Total Public Costs											
Construction											\$44,744
Operations	\$196,954	\$121,934	\$81,317	\$68,320	\$62,110	\$62,523	\$62,119	\$63,612	\$64,277	\$65,827	\$2,798,393
Offsite Employee Effects	\$5,965	\$6,027	\$6,090	\$6,154	\$6,218	\$6,283	\$6,349	\$6,415	\$6,482	\$6,550	\$137,874
Secondary Effects											
Visitor Impacts											
<b>Total Public Costs</b>	\$202,919	\$127,961	\$87,407	\$74,474	\$68,328	\$68,806	\$68,468	\$70,027	\$70,759	\$72,377	\$2,981,011
NET ECONOMIC BENEFIT											
vs. PUBLIC COSTS	\$10,670,000	\$10,909,713	\$11,193,277	\$11,514,190	\$11,851,912	\$12,206,579	\$12,571,063	\$12,948,394	\$13,336,176	\$13,736,463	\$332,529,996
NET PUBLIC REVENUES											
vs. PUBLIC COSTS	\$218,470	\$144,637	\$105,248	\$93,521	\$88,622	\$90,391	\$91,389	\$94,330	\$96,491	\$99,587	\$2,831,639
vs. FUBLIC CUSTS	\$218,470	\$144,037	\$1U5, <b>24</b> 8	₹93,5 <b>21</b>	\$00,022	Ψ9U,391	<b>Φ91,389</b>	<b>774,330</b>	\$70, <del>4</del> 91	780,587	\$4,831,839

SHIFT ASSESSED THE SHARE SHIP ASSESSED THE SHARE SHARE SHIP ASSESSED THE SHARE SHARE

#### **Summary of Costs and Benefits**

Analysis 10/16/08

10/16/08	Construct	ion Period										Operatin
	Single	Additional	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
	Year	Years	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	Year 10
							2111					
VII. School District Impacts	' 											
<b>Total Public Revenues</b>												
Construction	\$0	\$0										
Operations			\$617,685	\$617,685	\$896,959	\$1,316,573	\$1,397,722	\$1,463,753	\$1,998,952	\$1,828,559	\$1,837,838	\$1,990,728
Offsite Employee Effects			\$3,773	\$3,773	\$5,482	\$5,597	\$5,714	\$5,834	\$5,957	\$6,082	\$6,210	\$6,341
State Revenue per Pupil			\$5,200	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500
<b>Total Public Revenues</b>	\$0	\$0	\$626,658	\$627,958	\$908,941	\$1,328,670	\$1,409,937	\$1,476,087	\$2,011,409	\$1,841,141	\$1,850,548	\$2,003,569
Total Public Costs												
Construction												
Operations			\$308,842	\$308,842	\$448,480	\$658,287	\$698,861	\$731,876	\$999,476	\$914,279	\$918,919	\$995,364
Offsite Employee Effects			\$25,828	\$32,285	\$32,285	\$32,285	\$32,285	\$32,285	\$32,285	\$32,285	\$32,285	\$32,285
State Cost per Pupil			\$5,200	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500
Total Public Costs	\$0	\$0	\$339,870	\$347,627	\$487,265	\$697,072	\$737,646	\$770,661	\$1,038,261	\$953,064	\$957,704	\$1,034,149
NET PUBLIC REVENUES												
vs. PUBLIC COSTS	\$0	\$0	\$286,787	\$280,330	\$421,676	\$631,598	\$672,290	\$705,426	\$973,148	\$888,077	\$892,844	\$969,420
VIII. Metropolitan Commu	 nity College											
Total Public Revenues												
Construction	\$0	\$0										
Operations			\$22,211	\$22,359	\$32,468	\$47,658	\$50,595	\$52,985	\$72,358	\$66,190	\$66,526	\$72,061
Offsite Employee Effects			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Public Revenues	\$0	\$0	\$22,211	\$22,359	\$32,468	\$47,658	\$50,595	\$52,985	\$72,358	\$66,190	\$66,526	\$72,061
Total Public Costs												
Construction	\$0	\$0										
Operations			\$11,105	\$11,180	\$16,234	\$23,829	\$25,297	\$26,493	\$36,179	\$33,095	\$33,263	\$36,030
Offsite Employee Effects			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Total Public Costs	\$0	\$0	\$11,105	\$11,180	\$16,234	\$23,829	\$25,297	\$26,493	\$36,179	\$33,095	\$33,263	\$36,030
NET PUBLIC REVENUES												
vs. PUBLIC COSTS	\$0	\$0	\$11,105	\$11,180	\$16,234	\$23,829	\$25,297	\$26,493	\$36,179	\$33,095	\$33,263	\$36,030
	<b>40</b>	40	<b>-</b> ,00	,	<b>0,-</b> 01	+_c,c_	+-c,-	+-0,-0	+50,2.5	+30,030	+30 <b>,=</b> 00	+30,000

Smill Same 54

Addition Addition Same 54

Additi

## Summary of Costs and Benef Analysis

Analysis 10/16/08

	g Period										
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	
	Year 11	Year 12	Year 13	Year 14	Year 15	Year 16	Year 17	Year 18	Year 19	Year 20	Total
VII. School District Impact	s 										
Total Public Revenues											40
Construction	#2 221 170	ф1 27F 021	фог.с. го <b>о</b>	#<00.204	#c00 422	eco1 244	ф <u>гоз 040</u>	φ <b>τ</b> οο ο <b>2</b> ο	#<0F 0F2	фс11 04 <b>г</b>	\$0
Operations	\$2,331,178	\$1,375,021	\$856,502	\$689,304	\$608,423	\$601,244	\$593,848	\$599,820	\$605,852	\$611,945	\$22,839,592
Offsite Employee Effects	\$6,474	\$6,610	\$6,749	\$6,891	\$7,035 \$6,500	\$7,183	\$7,334	\$7,488	\$7,646	\$7,806 \$6,500	\$125,980 \$128,700
State Revenue per Pupil  Total Public Revenues	\$6,500 <b>\$2,344,152</b>	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	. ,	\$6,500 <b>\$614,927</b>	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500 <b>\$619,998</b>	. ,	
Total Public Revenues	\$2,344,152	\$1,388,131	\$869,751	\$702,694	\$621,958	\$614,927	\$607,683	\$613,809	\$619,998	\$626,251	\$23,094,272
Total Public Costs											
Construction											\$0
Operations	\$1,165,589	\$687,510	\$428,251	\$344,652	\$304,211	\$300,622	\$296,924	\$299,910	\$302,926	\$305,972	\$11,419,796
Offsite Employee Effects	\$32,285	\$32,285	\$32,285	\$32,285	\$32,285	\$32,285	\$32,285	\$32,285	\$32,285	\$32,285	\$639,243
State Cost per Pupil	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$6,500	\$128,700
Total Public Costs	\$1,204,374	\$726,295	\$467,036	\$383,437	\$342,996	\$339,407	\$335,709	\$338,695	\$341,711	\$344,757	\$12,187,739
	4-,	41-0,-10	4 = 0.1 / 0.0 0	4000,000	40	4000,000	4000). 00	4000,000	4 <b>-</b> /	40 = 2,101	4-2,201,101
NET PUBLIC REVENUES											
vs. PUBLIC COSTS	\$1,139,778	\$661,835	\$402,715	\$319,258	\$278,962	\$275,520	\$271,973	\$275,114	\$278,287	\$281,494	\$10,906,533
VIII. Metropolitan Commu	r										
Total Public Revenues											
Construction											\$0
Operations	\$84,384	\$49,773	\$31,004	\$24,952	\$22,024	\$22,145	\$21,890	\$22,507	\$22,742	\$23,385	\$830,218
Offsite Employee Effects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Public Revenues	\$84,384	\$49,773	\$31,004	\$24,952	\$22,024	\$22,145	\$21,890	\$22,507	\$22,742	\$23,385	\$830,218
Total Public Costs											
Construction											\$0
Operations	\$42,192	\$24,887	\$15,502	\$12,476	\$11,012	\$11,073	\$10,945	\$11,254	\$11,371	\$11,692	\$415,109
Offsite Employee Effects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Public Costs	\$42,192	\$24,887	\$15,502	\$12,476	\$11,012	\$11,073	\$10,945	\$11,254	\$11,371	\$11,692	\$415,109
NET PUBLIC REVENUES											
vs. PUBLIC COSTS	\$42,192	\$24,887	\$15,502	\$12,476	\$11,012	\$11,073	\$10,945	\$11,254	\$11,371	\$11,692	¢41E 100
vs. FUDLIC CUSTS	Þ <del>4</del> 2,192	\$44,007	\$15,502	\$12,476	\$11,012	\$11,0/3	\$10,945	\$11,454	\$11,3/1	\$11,092	\$415,109

## **ATTACHMENT 3**



#### Chapter 100 Abatement Summary Prepared for Cerner Data Center

Assumptions:

Current Land Value \$ Current Improvement Value \$ Construction Budget \$ 60,000,000

Personal Property:

Manufacturing \$

Telecommunications \$ 427,196,000

Office Equipment \$ 12,804,000 \$ 440,000,000

Year	New Taxes	Existing Taxes	Total	Abatement <sup>①</sup>	PILOTs@
1	987,074	-	987,074	571,769	493,537
2	1,372,375	-	1,372,375	808,998	686,187
3	1,947,872	-	1,947,872	1,196,318	973,936
4	2,041,083	-	2,041,083	1,192,495	1,020,542
5	2,113,628	-	2,113,628	1,242,524	1,056,814
6	2,817,966	-	2,817,966	1,765,704	1,408,983
7	2,563,694	-	2,563,694	1,478,365	1,281,847
8	2,550,645	-	2,550,645	1,512,127	1,275,322
9	2,728,427	-	2,728,427	1,634,426	1,364,214
10	3,143,820	-	3,143,820	1,951,325	1,571,910
11	1,145,377	-	1,145,377	572,688	572,688
12	467,104	-	467,104	233,552	233,552
13	232,754	-	232,754	116,377	116,377
14	122,944	-	122,944	61,472	61,472
15	106,526	-	106,526	53,263	53,263
16	90,108	-	90,108	45,054	45,054
17	90,108	-	90,108	45,054	45,054
18	90,108	-	90,108	45,054	45,054
19	90,108	-	90,108	45,054	45,054
20	90,108	<u>-</u>	90,108	45,054	45,054
Total	\$ 24,791,825 \$	- \$	24,791,825	\$ 14,616,672	\$ 12,395,912

Present Value of Abatement:

@ 8% \$8,874,474@ 12% \$7,160,093

The above is for discussion purposes only. Assumptions are derived from developer submitted information and estimates. This is not a guarantee of Chapter 100 bond issuance; application must be made and a Chapter 100 Plan approved by City Council.

#### Notes:

- ① Year one through ten net abatement includes sales tax abatement.
- ② PILOTs = Payment in lieu of taxes.

#### Abatement Schedule

	Construction	n Dollar to Market		
		Improvements	Land	Total
Proposed Construction		60,000,000		60,000,000
Rate	0	35%	38%	
Projected County Market Value	_	20,764,620		20,764,620
Projected County Assessed Value		6,644,678	-	6,644,678

Projected Real Prop. W/ Construction								
32%	Market	Assessed						
Land	-	-						
Improvement	20,764,620	6,644,678						
Total	20,764,620	6,644,678						

Persi	onal Property	
33%	Market	Assessed
Market/Assessment	440,000,000	117,332,160
Manufacturing equipment		0%
Telecommunications		97%
Office Equipment		3%

	#		
	/	2	
NKC	S	5.8898	83.8
Other jurisdictions		1.1392	16.2
Total County	S	7.0290	100.0

Kansas City L	and Only
Park	0.5
Trafficway	0.2
General	1.463
Total Land	2.213

Sales Tax Aba	tement
Construction Material	2,466,75
Telecommunications	31,932,90
Office Equipment	957,09
Total	\$ 35,356,75

	Payment is	n Lieu of Taxes '	%
	School	County	City
Year 1-5	50%	50%	50%
Year 6-10	50%	50%	50%
Year 11-15	50%	50%	50%
Year 16-20	50%	50%	50%

Year	Real	Personal
Year 1-5	100%	100%
Year 6-10	100%	100%
Year 11-15	100%	100%
Year 16-20	100%	100%

Total A	batement	
Property		14,616,672
Sales Tax		35,356,750
Total Abatement	S	49,973,422

YEAR		City	Real Property Tax County	© School	Total	City	Personal Prop County	erty Tax School	Total	Total Prop. Tax	City PILOT %	County PILOT %	School PILOT %	City PILOT \$	County PILOT	s	School PILOT \$	R City	eal Estate PILOT	s School	Total PILOTs	Sales Tax Abatement	Net® Abatement	Abated %
1	S	97,225 \$	181,347 S	391,358 \$	669,930	54,644	42,544	219,957	317,145 S	987,074	50%	50%	50%	\$ 75,93		,945 \$	305,658 \$	-	s -	S -	\$ 493,537 \$	78,232 \$	571,769	58%
2		97,225	181,347	391,358	669,930	121,031	94,231	487,184	702,445	1,372,375	50%	50%	50%	109,12		7,789	439,271	-	-	-	686,187	122,811 \$	808,998	59%
3		99,169	184,973	399,185	683,328	217,880	169,634	877,029	1,264,543	1,947,872	50%	50%	50%	158,52		,304	638,107	-	-	-	973,936	222,382 \$	1,196,318	61%
4		99,169	184,973	399,185	683,328	233,940	182,138	941,676	1,357,755	2,041,083	50%	50%	50%	166,55		,556	670,431	-	-	-	1,020,542	171,954 \$	1,192,495	58%
5		101,153	188,673	407,169	696,995	244,085	190,037	982,512	1,416,633	2,113,628	50%	50%	50%	172,61		,355	694,840	-	-	-	1,056,814	185,710 \$	1,242,524	59%
6		101,153	188,673	407,169	696,995	365,442	284,521	1,471,008	2,120,971	2,817,966	50%	50%	50%	233,29		,597	939,088	-	-	-	1,408,983	356,721 \$	1,765,704	63%
7		103,176	192,446	415,313	710,935	319,229	248,541	1,284,989	1,852,759	2,563,694	50%	50%	50%	211,20		,494	850,151	-	-	-	1,281,847	196,518 \$	1,478,365	58%
8		103,176	192,446	415,313	710,935	316,981	246,791	1,275,938	1,839,710	2,550,645	50%	50%	50%	210,07		,619	845,625	-	-	-	1,275,322	236,805 \$	1,512,127	59%
9		105,239	196,295	423,619	725,154	345,163	268,732	1,389,379	2,003,273	2,728,427	50%	50%	50%	225,20		2,514	906,499	-	-	-	1,364,214	270,213 \$	1,634,426	60%
10		105,239	196,295	423,619	725,154	416,735	324,456	1,677,476	2,418,667	3,143,820	50%	50%	50%	260,98	7 260	),376	1,050,547	-	-	-	1,571,910	379,415 \$	1,951,325	62%
11			-	-	-	197,348	153,648	794,381	1,145,377	1,145,377	50%	50%	50%	98,67		,824	397,190	-	-	-	572,688	\$	572,688	50%
12		-	-	-	-	80,482	62,660	323,962	467,104	467,104	50%	50%	50%	40,24	1 31	,330	161,981	-	-	-	233,552	\$	233,552	50%
13			-	-	-	40,103	31,223	161,427	232,754	232,754	50%	50%	50%	20,05	2 15	,612	80,714	-	-	-	116,377	\$	116,377	50%
14			-	-	-	21,183	16,492	85,268	122,944	122,944	50%	50%	50%	10,59	2 8	3,246	42,634	-	-	-	61,472	\$	61,472	50%
15		-	-	-		18,354	14,290	73,881	106,526	106,526	50%	50%	50%	9,17	7 7	7,145	36,941	-	-	-	53,263	\$	53,263	50%
16			-	-	-	15,525	12,088	62,494	90,108	90,108	50%	50%	50%	7,7€	3 6	5,044	31,247	-	-	-	45,054	- \$	45,054	50%
17		-	-	-		15,525	12,088	62,494	90,108	90,108	50%	50%	50%	7,7€	3 6	,044	31,247	-	-	-	45,054	- S	45,054	50%
18			-	-	-	15,525	12,088	62,494	90,108	90,108	50%	50%	50%	7,7€	3 6	5,044	31,247	-	-	-	45,054	- \$	45,054	50%
19		-	-	-		15,525	12,088	62,494	90,108	90,108	50%	50%	50%	7,7€	3 6	,044	31,247	-	-	-	45,054	- S	45,054	50%
20		-	-	-		15,525	12,088	62,494	90,107.52	90,108	50%	50%	50%	7,76	3 6	,044	31,247	-	-	-	45,054	- S	45,054	50%
	\$	1,011,925 \$	1,887,470 S	4,073,288 S	6,972,683 \$	3,070,225 \$	2,390,378 \$	12,358,539 \$	17,819,142 \$	24,791,825				\$ 2,041,07	5 \$ 2,138	,924 \$	8,215,914 \$	-	\$ -	S -	\$ 12,395,912 \$	2,220,760 \$	14,616,672	

① Percentage for estimation purposes only, County Assessor uses income approach.

Year one through ten net abatement includes sales tax abatement.

\$8,874,474 \$8,394,853 \$7,951,396 \$7,160,093 @8% @9% @10% @12%